# AQUADVANTAGE® SALMON:

A CASE STUDY IN THE DEVELOPMENT AND APPROVAL OF TRANSGENIC AQUATIC ORGANISMS



One approach to the genetic improvement of aquatic organisms that has emerged as a discipline in its own right over the past two decades is transgenesis, the transfer of foreign genes into new hosts.

### C. Greg Lutz

be defined as possessing within their chromosomal DNA, either directly or through inheritance, genetic constructs which have artificial origins. The key word for researchers, producers and even consumers here is within the chromosomal DNA: introduced consequent generations.

ransgenic fishes (or mol- instantaneous gains in many types pacts of genetically modified aquatluscs or crustaceans) can of important production traits such ic organisms on natural systems. As distrust of genetically engineered leases could occur. products in many nations. Another structs are incorporated into the tar- adoption of transgenic stocks in in aquaculture involves the comget organism in such a way as to be aquaculture involves regulatory reexpressed and passed along to sub- strictions on stocking and culture of a press release dated November 19, The potential pay-offs for uti- to a lack of performance data, it is FDA had approved the production, lizing this type of technology in usually quite difficult to assess (or sale and consumption of its transaquaculture are high: rapid, almost even speculate on) the potential im- genic salmon. This approval was the

as growth, cold tolerance, or disease a result, resource managers, politiresistance may be possible. The po- cians and bureaucrats are reluctant tential problems, however, are also to even attempt to develop protoimpressive: labor- and capital-inten- cols for the use of these organisms sive methodologies and consumer in situations where inadvertent re-

One (and probably the only) major constraint to the widespread case study of transgenic organisms pany AquaBounty Technologies. In genetically modified organisms. Due the company announced that the result of many years of diligence vantage Salmon is an important step Since this would be the first GM aniand perseverance, and at many times in this direction." in the process it seemed virtually unattainable. Jack A. Bobo, Senior AquAdvantage® salmon, a genetically Vice President and Chief Com- modified Atlantic salmon (Salmo salmunications Officer at Intrexon, a *ar*), by inserting a gene from the Chi-US-based biotechnology company nook (King) salmon (Oncorhynchus and Aquabounty's main shareholder, tshawytscha), coupled to DNA fragstated "The U.S. Dietary Guidelines ments from the coldwater marine Advisory Committee encourages fish Ocean Pout (Zoarces americanus). Americans to eat a wide variety of This modification allows specimens seafood --including wild caught and to reach smolt size and commerfarmed— as part of a healthy diet cial size in half the time required tally friendly and sustainable man- to bring their genetically modified

In 1989, AquaBounty developed the company initially faced an uphill battle in terms of generating scientific data required to meet regulatory concerns, and subsequently to encourage opponents to accept the science in the decision-making process. For some time now, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration has been in 'the final stages' of reviewing AquaBounty's dossier and applicarich in healthy fatty acids. However, by traditionally farmed salmon. For tion for approval of the AquAdvanthis must occur in an environmen- many years, AquaBounty has fought tage<sup>®</sup> salmon. In anticipation of an FDA apner. FDA's approval of the AquAd- (GM) salmon to the marketplace. proval, some groups have expressed

mal suitable for human consumption to be submitted for FDA approval,



A close view of salmon eggs and developing salmon fry. Courtesy of USFWS.

In 1989, *AquaBounty* developed *AquAdvantage*<sup>®</sup> salmon, a genetically modified Atlantic salmon *(Salmo salar)*.

concerns about commercialization of the product in spite of company assurances that its salmon will comply with the sterility levels required by the FDA. The regulatory application for AquAdvantage® salmon production includes a manufacturing site for egg production in Canada, and a grow-out site in Panama. The agency's approval would allow AquaBounty to send eggs to Panama, and products harvested there could then be imported and marketed in the USA. The company assures they have taken all the necessary precautions in the design and production process to ensure a safe, healthy and environmental risk-free product.

In 2013, Environment Canada determined that the company's salmon would pose no significant threat to the environment or human health when produced in contained facilities, clearing the way for the egg production component of the company's plans. The agency reached this conclusion following a risk assessment conducted by the Department of Fisheries and Oceans Canada, involving a panel of independent scientific experts in the fields of transgenics and fish containment technologies. In the U.S., one final step before the FDA could issue a formal approval was the public comment process for the draft Environmental Assessment and preliminary Finding of No Significant Impact. This was also completed in 2013. After compiling all the responses, it was expected that an FDA approval or rejection would be released at some point in 2014.

Politics, and opponents unwilling to allow the scientific review process to follow its proper course, put the status of AquAdvantage<sup>®</sup> salmon in limbo for several years. Due to the delays in the regulatory approval of the product, the company almost depleted its initial capital. The company reported net losses of \$4.7 million for 2013, following losses of \$4.4 million in 2012. Losses reported for 2011 and 2010 were \$2.7 million and \$5.3 million, respectively. Nonetheless, financial prospects have improved since Intrexon became AquaBounty's main shareholder. Intrexon has a broad portfolio of technology and bio-molecular applications, and funded a short-term bridge loan to support operations through mid-2015.

The company is banking on eco-

nomic and environmental incentives





DECEMBER 2015-JANUARY 2016





AquAdvantage® fish will pose no genetic threat to wild salmon. Photo courtesy NOAA.



Closed containment design. Courtesy of DFO Canada.



Land-based production in Naimo Canada.

to use GM salmon, due to its improved growth and feed conversion ratio (FCR) characteristics. Studies carried out by the company suggest *vantage*<sup>®</sup> salmon cannot breed with that producers may obtain a lower any of the five species of Pacific FCR (20-25%), and more efficient utilization of dietary protein. Both would have direct environmental benefits. The company has also cited a number of other potential improvements to sustainability. Because AquAdvantage<sup>®</sup> salmon will be raised only on land-based systems, this will hopefully contribute to increase the adoption of closed systems such as RAS. Additionally, scientific applications incorporated into the product, as triploid, monosexed populations, may be more easily transferred to conventional aquaculture species, in order to protect both the environment and the intellectual property has no power to control how cusof genetic improvement companies. The use of a more efficient, highturnover fish such as AquAdvantage have focused on this aspect of the salmon might be the key to making market chain as a means to preland-based salmon culture economically sustainable.

tually all AquAdvantage<sup>®</sup> salmon are triploids. The percentage efficiency of triploid induction in general has been reported to exceed 99%. In the bill the following year that would revalidation study submitted to the quire genetically engineered salmon

were individually analyzed, using flow cytometry. Of those 20 crossings 14 were found to be 100% triploid, and addition, 100% of AquAdvantage® salmon are mono-sex (all female), so that they cannot establish repopulations. In many salmonid spe-(and more efficient from a bio-economic perspective) in most production traits. Since sex in salmonids is based on female homogameity (as is refer to here as an XX state, it is possible to produce all-female stocks by mating normal female salmon with XX 'males' produced through hormonal masculinization of normal XX fry.

Like all Atlantic Salmon, AquAdsalmon, including Coho Salmon. Therefore, the combination of triploidy, monosex populations and When one considers the overall envinatural reproductive incompatibility, as well as multiple containment barriers built into culture centers, mean that the reproductive interaction between AquAdvantage<sup>®</sup> salmon and wild salmon stocks would be essentially zero. No accidents like we saw in Jurassic Park.

AquAdvantage<sup>®</sup> eggs will be labeled as genetically engineered Atlantic Salmon; this label will be implemented on each batch to be marketed. However, the company tomers and distributors will label their final products. Opponents vent the commercialization of fish like AquAdvantage<sup>®</sup>. For example, According to the company, vir- although voters in Washington rejected mandatory labelling of genetically modified organisms in 2013, Rep. Cary Condotta introduced a

FDA, 7,000 eggs from 20 families to be clearly marked at the point of sale. Similarly, the U.S. Senate Appropriations Committee adopted an amendment sponsored by Senator the overall average was 99.85%. In Lisa Murkowski of Alaska, requiring mandatory labelling of GM salmon.

A number of arguments against AquAdvantage<sup>®</sup> salmon were pubproductively active, self-sustaining licized in the resulting discussion, none of which were scientifically cies, females are considered superior sound. At the time AquaBounty's CEO, Dr. Ronald Stotish, stated that "The amendment appears to be an attempt to usurp legal authority for food labelling from the FDA where the case with humans), which we can it has resided historically. More importantly, it appears to be an attempt to utilize labelling as a weapon for protection of economic interest." Murkowski was widely considered to be acting to protect the interests of wild salmon fisheries in her home state.

> The relationship between price, sustainability and consumer acceptance presents a complicated balance in the case of AquAdvantage<sup>®</sup> salmon. ronmental impacts in terms of containment and conversion efficiency, many of those criticizing these GM fish apparently have not considered that they may be "cutting off their nose to spite their face." However, those producers who want to work with a salmonid with accelerated growth and reduced FCR will have

> > The percentage efficiency of triploid induction in general has been reported to exceed 99%



to deal with resistance in the market- based RAS systems that are specially place, at least initially. Presumably, designed for AquAdvantage<sup>®</sup> salmon AquAdvantage<sup>®</sup> salmon will have a lower production cost than traditional farmed salmon. By using land-

## Do you Maintain, Propagate, Research or Grow **Out Finfish, Mollusks, Crustaceans or Larvae?**

Do You Want...

- Clean Water Low in Dissolved Organics, Proteins and Bacteria?
- Quality Water High in Dissolved Oxygen with Exceptional Clarity?
- Healthier and Faster Growing Animals?

## **RK2 FRACTIONATORS DO ALL OF THE ABOVE AND MORE!**



760.746.7400 • www.RK2.com • sales@RK2.com

salmon will hold the same nutritional and biochemical properties as conventional farmed salmon, so apart from any stigma associated with a genetically enhanced product, they may accept it and enjoy it like any other farmed salmon.

As has been shown in the case of AquAdvantage<sup>®</sup> salmon, sound science is only a part of the regulatory process when it comes to GM organisms. Future GMO applications submitted to the FDA will be handled on a case by case basis, and it is impossible to predict their outcomes, but now that AquaBounty's application is finally approved it could give hope to other GMO producers.

culture, the company estimates that producers could save up to \$1-1.50/ kg. For end users, AquAdvantage®

Dr. C. Greg Lutz has a B.A. in Biology and Spanish by the Earlham College at Richmond, Indiana, a M.S. in Fisheries and a Ph.D. in Wildlife and Fisheries Science by the Louisiana State University. His interests include recirculating system technology and population dynamics, quantitative genetics and multivariate analyses and the use of web based technology for result-demonstration methods

#### PROTEIN FRACTIONATION

